Series 4246

## MX COORDINATION OFFICE [685]

## ADMINISTRATIVE RECORDS, 1979-1981.

1 CU. FT.

**DESCRIPTION:** During its two-year life span, the agency vigorously pursued its main function which was to provide state level coordination and planning with respect to possible community impacts of the MX program. In pursuit of this function, four important activities are documented:

- 1. organization of the office and the establishment of a direction in planning;
- 2. collection of information for the study and evaluation of the impact of missile deployment;
- 3. evaluation of the environmental impact statement and other technical studies; and
- 4. the coordination of the entire process with the Nevada office and with local governments and citizen groups.

Each staff member had specific responsibilities, and these explain the general subject content of the series.

- (1) Paul Cox from Harvard was a technical consultant in ecological issues.
- (2) Denise Earle, Administrative Assistant, handled the day-to-day operations of the office.
- (3) Ann Keegan, an economist, handled the evaluation of environmental impact statements and technical studies.
- (4) Kenneth Olson was project director, and his correspondence reflects involvement with a wide range of issues from administration of the office to evaluation of technical reports and the hiring of consultants.

(5) John Roach, Associate Director, was responsible for analyzing specific social and economic impacts of missile deployment on local communities.

Kenneth Olson's correspondence contains most of the information relating to the organization of the office. He also deals frequently with political issues and evaluates specific technical reports. All staff members sought and received technical advice from many sources, and actively collected published information on missile deployment and national defense. This material was used to help evaluate the various technical studies, particularly the environmental impact statement compiled by the U.S. Air Force. As the volume of collected information grew, and after the office was closed; this information served also as a type of "data base" resource.

Conflict with Air Force officials was frequent, and centered around the acquisition of planning data, its interpretation, and public statements made by representatives of the Air Force or from the Utah office. The MX Coordination Office also established a cooperative working relationship with its counterpart in Nevada and with other local government agencies and with local groups. The organization and planning of regional meetings, and the sharing of opinions and data on potential impacts of missile deployment are well documented.

**ARRANGEMENT:** There are two sub series in the ADMINISTRATIVE RECORDS: employment applications and correspondence. The applications are arranged alphabetically by name of applicant; the correspondence of each of the five staff members are arranged chronologically by the date a letter was sent, with letters received attached to them.

RELATED RECORDS: The broad range of activity and subject content of the administrative correspondence connects it with all of the other series produced by the Utah MX Coordination Office. Most importantly, specific reports in the MX DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT AND TECHNICAL REPORTS, series #4254, are frequently discussed. Published information collected by staff members can be found in the MX MISSILE NEWS ARTICLES, series #4249. The FEDERAL AID PROGRAMS SUBJECT FILES, series #4252, and the MX MISSILE DEPLOYMENT IMPACT PLANNING AND SUBJECT F, series #4250, contain much of the additional data collected by staff members. The ADMINISTRATIVE RECORDS of the MX Missile Policy series #5643, shows how statewide policy toward the project was coordinated with local governments

**CUSTODY HISTORY:** Records produced by the Coordination Office were stored by the State Office of Planning and Budget until their transfer to the archives in 1984.

**PROCESSING NOTE:** Processing was completed in 1987 by Brent Brinkerhoff. Some minor rearrangement was necessary in order to reintegrate some of the correspondence into the series.

## **CONTAINER LIST**

Reel	Box	Folder	Description
pending	1	1	Employment Applications; A-B
pending	1	2	Employment Applications; C-E
pending	1	3	Employment Applications; F-H
pending	1	4	Employment Applications; I-O
pending	1	5	Employment Applications; P-S
pending	1	6	Employment Applications; T-Z
pending	1	7	Correspondence, Paul Cox; 1981,
1 0			June
pending	1	8	Correspondence, Paul Cox; 1981,
1 0			July - August
pending	1	9	Correspondence, Denise Earle;
1 0			1981
pending	1	10	Correspondence, Ann Keegan;
1 0			1980, January-March
pending	1	11	Correspondence, Ann Keegan;
1 0			1980, April-June
pending	1	12	Correspondence, Ann Keegan;
1 0			1980, July-September
pending	1	13	Correspondence, Ann Keegan;
1 0			1980, October-December
pending	1	14	Correspondence, Ann Keegan;
			1981, January-April
pending	1	15	Correspondence, Ann Keegan;
			1981, May-August
pending	1	16	Correspondence, Ann Keegan;
			1981, September-October
pending	1	17	Correspondence, Kenneth C.
			Olsen; 1979, November
pending	1	18	Correspondence, Kenneth C.
			Olsen; 1979, December
pending	1	19	Correspondence, Kenneth C.
		• 0	Olsen; 1980, January
pending	1	20	Correspondence, Kenneth C.
1.	1	21	Olsen; 1980, February
pending	1	21	Correspondence, Kenneth C.
1.	1	22	Olsen; 1980, March
pending	1	22	Correspondence, Kenneth C.
	1	22	Olsen; 1980, April
pending	1	23	Correspondence, Kenneth C.
n an din a	1	24	Olsen; 1980, May
pending	1	24	Correspondence, Kenneth C.
nandina	1	25	Olsen; 1980, June Correspondence, Kenneth C.
pending	1	<i>43</i>	Olsen; 1980, July
pending	1	26	Correspondence, Kenneth C.
penung	1	20	Correspondence, Kenneth C.

Reel	Box	Folder	Description
pending	1	27	Olsen; 1980, August Correspondence, Kenneth C.
1 &			Olsen; 1980, September
pending	1	28	Correspondence, Kenneth C.
			Olsen; 1980, October
pending	1	29	Correspondence, Kenneth C.
			Olsen; 1980, November
pending	1	30	Correspondence, Kenneth C.
1'	1	21	Olsen; 1980, December
pending	1	31	Correspondence, Kenneth C.
pending	1	32	Olsen; 1981, January Correspondence, Kenneth C.
pending	1	32	Olsen; 1981, February
pending	1	33	Correspondence, Kenneth C.
pending	1	33	Olsen; 1981, March
pending	1	34	Correspondence, Kenneth C.
1 6			Olsen; 1981, April
pending	1	35	Correspondence, Kenneth C.
			Olsen; 1981, May
pending	1	36	Correspondence, Kenneth C.
			Olsen; 1981, June, 1-14
pending	1	37	Correspondence, Kenneth C.
			Olsen; 1981, June, 15-21
pending	1	38	Correspondence, Kenneth C.
1.	1	20	Olsen; 1981, June, 22-30
pending	1	39	Correspondence, Kenneth C.
nandina	1	40	Olsen; 1981, July, 1-15 Correspondence, Kenneth C.
pending	1	40	Olsen; 1981, July, 16-31
pending	1	41	Correspondence, Kenneth C.
pending	1	11	Olsen; 1981, August, 1-17
pending	1	42	Correspondence, Kenneth C.
1			Olsen; 1981, August, 18-31
pending	1	43	Correspondence, Kenneth C.
			Olsen; 1981, September, 1-17
pending	1	44	Correspondence, Kenneth C.
			Olsen; 1981, September, 18-30
pending	1	45	Correspondence, Kenneth C.
		4.5	Olsen; 1981, October
pending	1	46	Correspondence, John G. Roach;
	1	47	1980, January-March
pending	1	47	Correspondence, John G. Roach;
nandina	1	48	1980, April-June
pending	1	40	Correspondence, John G. Roach; 1980, July-September
pending	1	49	Correspondence, John G. Roach;
Politiling	•	.,	1980, October-December
			·,